

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3 Richmond Division
4

5 In re:)
6)
7 CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC.) Chapter 11
8) CASE NO. 08-35653-KRH
9 Debtor.)
10)

11 **CREDITOR JACK HERNANDEZ'S RESPONSE TO DEBTORS' THIRTIETH**
12 **OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS [DISALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN INVALID**
13 **CLAIMS, DOCKET NO. 11808]**
14

15 COMES NOW, Creditor Jack Hernandez, through its undersigned counsel, pursuant to
16 Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007, Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1(D), and this Court's April 1, 2009
17 Order Establishing Omnibus Objection Procedures and Approving the Form and Manner of
18 Notice of Omnibus Objections [Docket No. 2881], and responds to the Debtors' Thirtieth
19 Objection to Claims [Disallowance of Certain Invalid Claims] [Docket No. 3703] and states as
20 follows:
21

22 **Argument**

- 23 1. The Debtors filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on
24 November 10, 2008 and continue to manage their affairs as debtors-in-possession.
25 2. On April 17, 2008, Creditor Hernandez filed his lawsuit on behalf of himself and all
26 other sales managers employed by Debtors seeking overtime compensation,
27 compensation for missed meal and rest breaks, waiting time penalties and attorneys'
28 fees under California law. The class action-styled case, which is entitled *Hernandez v.*
Circuit City, Inc., is filed in the San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2008-

00082173. Creditor Hernandez seeks to represent all California-based salaried sales managers who were employed by Debtors and who were not paid overtime compensation, or provided meal and rest breaks.

3. California Labor Code section 203 provides “waiting time penalties” for employees who are not paid all wages due and owing at the time of the termination of their employment. This code section provides in relevant part:

If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with [specified statutes], any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until an action therefore is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days. Lab. Code § 203

4. On January 13, 2009, pursuant to this Court’s Order regarding creditor claims, Creditor Hernandez - through its counsel - filed a timely creditor claim with the bankruptcy administrator, Kurtzman Carson Consultants.
5. On or about April 2, 2012, Debtors filed their Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to Claims [Disallowance of Certain Invalid Claims, Docket No 11808]. In its Objection, the Debtors’ deny the basis of this claim in its entirety and seek to expunge it.
6. Creditor Hernandez objects to this action because this claim has not been litigated and Defendants have proffered no reason whatsoever to support their contention that this claim lacks merit. No discovery has been conducted to date.
7. Debtors are in exclusive possession of the information necessary for Creditor Hernandez to value its claim in any more detail. Specifically, Debtors hold the payroll, employment and time records, including the pay rates and number of weeks worked by Creditor Hernandez.
8. Counsel for the parties met and conferred regarding Debtors’ Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to Claims. At that time, the Debtors expressed interest in resolving the claim informally, thus Creditor submitted a demand, but Debtors have not responded.

- 1 9. Further, since Debtors' filing of its voluntary petition, the trial court proceedings of
2 Creditor Hernandez have been stayed under Code of Civil Procedure section 916 and
3 also pursuant to the federal bankruptcy law "automatic stay" rules.
- 4 10. Due to the automatic stay, Creditor's counsel is prohibited from conducting discovery
5 against Debtors that would be necessary to evaluate Creditor Hernandez's claim with
6 more particularity.
- 7 11. In an effort to obtain relief from the automatic stay so the trial court litigation can
8 proceed, counsel for Creditor Hernandez has met and conferred with Debtors' counsel.
- 9 12. Debtors' counsel has informed Creditor's counsel that this will be a "liquidating"
10 bankruptcy. In addition, Debtors' counsel informed Creditor Hernandez that given
11 Debtors' limited financial resources, matters that would otherwise be returned to trial
12 court(s) are being kept in the Bankruptcy Court in order to curb the cost of litigating
13 claims.
- 14 13. Based on the foregoing, Debtors' Objection is improper.

15
16 Respectfully submitted,

17 Dated: May 2, 2012

Righetti Glugoski, P.C.

18
19 /s/ Michael Righetti

20 _____
21 Michael Righetti
22 Attorney for Creditor, Jack Hernandez
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the action. My business address is Righetti Glugoski, P.C., 456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1400, San Francisco, California, 94104.

On Thursday, May 03, 2012 I served the foregoing document described as:

**CREDITOR JACK HERNANDEZ'S RESPONSE TO DEBTORS' THIRTIETH
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS [DISALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN INVALID
CLAIMS, DOCKET NO. 11808]**

on the interested parties by administering a true copy either by facsimile or in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

Paula Steinhilber Beran
Travener & Beran, PLC
20 North Eighth Street
Second Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
pberan@tb-lawfirm.com

Robert J. Feinstein
Pachulski Stang Ziehl and Jones
780 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10017
rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com

(X) VIA ECF ELECTRONIC MAIL

By emailing the above documents referenced to the recipients listed herein.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and was executed on Thursday, May 03, 2012, at San Francisco, California.


Sarah Minkus